arXiv:1210.5283v1 [math.ST] 18 Oct 2012

Distribution theory of quadratic forms with matrix argument

José A. Díaz-García *
Department of Statistics and Computation
25350 Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila, Mexico
E-mail: jadiaz@uaaan.mx

Abstract

This paper proposes the density and characteristic functions of a general matrix quadratic form $\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$, when $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{*}$, $\mathbf{X}$ has a matrix multivariate elliptical distribution and $\mathbf{X}^{*}$ denotes the usual conjugate transpose of $\mathbf{X}$. These results are obtained for real normed division algebras. With particular cases we obtained the density and characteristic functions of matrix quadratic forms for matrix multivariate normal, Pearson type VII, t and Cauchy distributions.

1 Introduction

The distribution of a matrix quadratic form in multivariate normal sample has been studied by diverse authors using zonal, Laguerre and Hayakawa polynomials with matrix argument, in real and complex cases, see Khatri (1966), Hayakawa (2007) and Shah (1970), among others. It is important to observe that these results have been obtained for positive definite matrix quadratic forms.

In diverse cases, certain statistics are functions of a quadratic form or special types of it, and play a very important role in classical multivariate statistical analysis.

By replacing the matrix multivariate normal distribution with a matrix multivariate elliptical Distribution, in classical multivariate analysis one obtains what is now termed generalised multivariate analysis. By analogy, the distribution of a matrix quadratic form in a matrix multivariate elliptical sample plays an equally important role in generalised multivariate analysis, as it is now interesting to study the distribution of a quadratic form assuming a matrix multivariate elliptical distribution. Some results in this context have been obtained for particular matrix quadratic forms, see Fang and Anderson (1990, Chapter II, pp. 137-200).

In general, many results first described in statistical theory are then found in real case, and the version for complex case is subsequently studied. In terms of certain concepts and results derived from abstract algebra, it is possible to propose a unified means of addressing not only real and complex cases but also quaternion and octonion cases.

In this paper we obtain the density and characteristic functions of a matrix quadratic form of a matrix multivariate elliptical distribution for real normed division algebras. Furthermore, these results are obtained when the matrix of the quadratic form is not necessarily

---

*Corresponding author

Key words. Quadratic forms; spherical functions; Jacobians; elliptical models; real, complex, quaternion and octonion random matrices.
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 60E05, 62E15; secondary 15A52

positive definite, which generalises most of the results presented in the literature in this context. This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides some definitions and notation on real normed division algebras, introducing the corresponding matrix multivariate elliptical distributions. Some results for Jacobians are proposed and two are obtained together with an extension of one of the basic properties of zonal polynomials. This is also valid for Jack polynomials for real normed division algebras, termed spherical functions for symmetric cones, and are also obtained. Section 3 then derives the matrix quadratic form density function, and as corollaries, some results for particular elliptical distributions are obtained. In Section 4, the characteristic function of a matrix quadratic form is obtained and some particular cases are studied.

2 Preliminary results

Let us introduce some notation and useful results.

2.1 Notation and real normed division algebras

A comprehensive discussion of real normed division algebras can be found in Baez (2002). For convenience, we shall introduce some notations, although in general we adhere to standard notations.

For our purposes, a **vector space** is always a finite-dimensional module over the field of real numbers. An **algebra** $\mathfrak{F}$ is a vector space that is equipped with a bilinear map $m : \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ termed *multiplication* and a nonzero element $1 \in \mathfrak{F}$ termed the *unit* such that $m(1, a) = m(a, 1) = 1$. As usual, we abbreviate $m(a, b) = ab$ as ab. We do not assume $\mathfrak{F}$ to be associative. Given an algebra, we freely think of real numbers as elements of this algebra via the map $\omega \mapsto \omega 1$.

An algebra $\mathfrak{F}$ is a **division algebra** if given $a, b \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $ab = 0$, then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a division algebra if the operation of left and right multiplications by any nonzero element is invertible. A **normed division algebra** is an algebra $\mathfrak{F}$ that is also a normed vector space with $||ab|| = ||a||||b||$. This implies that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a division algebra and that $||1|| = 1$.

There are exactly four normed division algebras: real numbers ($\Re$), complex numbers ($\mathfrak{C}$), quaternions ($\mathfrak{H}$) and octonions ($\mathfrak{O}$), see Baez (2002). We take into account that $\Re$, $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{O}$ are the only normed division algebras; moreover, they are the only alternative division algebras, and all division algebras have a real dimension of $1, 2, 4$ or 8, which is denoted by β, see Baez (2002, Theorems 1, 2 and 3). In other branches of mathematics, the parameter $\alpha = 2/\beta$ is used, see Edelman and Rao (2005).

Let $\mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,n}$ be the linear space of all $n \times m$ matrices of rank $m \leq n$ over $\mathfrak{F}$ with m distinct positive singular values, where $\mathfrak{F}$ denotes a *real finite-dimensional normed division algebra*. Let $\mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$ be the set of all $n \times m$ matrices over $\mathfrak{F}$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$ over $\Re$ is βmn. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$, then $\mathbf{A}^{*} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{T}$ denotes the usual conjugate transpose.

The set of matrices $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{H}_1^{*}\mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{I}_m$ is a manifold denoted $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,n}$, termed the *Stiefel manifold* ($\mathbf{H}_1$, also known as *semi-orthogonal* ($\beta = 1$), *semi-unitary* ($\beta = 2$), *semi-symplectic* ($\beta = 4$) and *semi-exceptional type* ($\beta = 8$) matrices, see Dray and Manogue (1999)). The dimension of $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,n}$ over $\Re$ is $[\beta mn - m(m-1)\beta/2 - m]$. In particular, $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,m}$ with a dimension over $\Re$, $[m(m+1)\beta/2 - m]$, is the maximal compact subgroup $\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$ of $\mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,m}$ and consists of all matrices $\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{H}^{*}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}_m$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$ is the *real orthogonal group* $\mathcal{O}(m)$ ($\beta = 1$), the *unitary group* $\mathcal{U}(m)$ ($\beta = 2$), *compact symplectic group* $\mathcal{S}p(m)$ ($\beta = 4$) or *exceptional type matrices* $\mathcal{O}o(m)$ ($\beta = 8$), for $\mathfrak{F} = \Re$, $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H}$ or $\mathfrak{O}$, respectively.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ the real vector space of all $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^*$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ be the *cone of positive definite matrices* $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$; then $\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. Over $\Re$, $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ consist of *symmetric* matrices; over $\mathfrak{C}$, *Hermitian* matrices; over $\mathfrak{H}$, *quaternionic Hermitian* matrices (also termed *self-dual matrices*) and over $\mathfrak{O}$, *octonionic Hermitian* matrices. Generically, the elements of $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ shall be termed **Hermitian matrices**, irrespective of the nature of $\mathfrak{F}$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ over $\Re$ is $[m(m-1)\beta + 2]/2$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$ be the *diagonal subgroup* of $\mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ consisting of all $\mathbf{D} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ and let $\mathfrak{T}\mathrm{u}_{m,n}^{+\beta}$ be the *semi-upper-triangular subgroup* of $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta$ consisting of all $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$, with $t_{ii} > 0$.

For any matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$, $d\mathbf{X}$ denotes the *matrix of differentials* (dx_{ij}). Finally, we define the *measure* or volume element $(d\mathbf{X})$ when $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}$, $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$, $\mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$ or $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$, see Dimitriu (2002).

If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$ then $(d\mathbf{X})$ (the Lebesgue measure in $\mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$) denotes the exterior product of the βmn functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{m} dx_{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad dx_{ij} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} dx_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ (or $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{T}_L^\beta(m)$) then $(d\mathbf{S})$ (the Lebesgue measure in $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ or in $\mathfrak{T}_L^\beta(m)$) denotes the exterior product of the $m(m+1)\beta/2$ functionally independent variables (or denotes the exterior product of the $m(m-1)\beta/2 + n$ functionally independent variables, if $s_{ii} \in \Re$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$)

$$(d\mathbf{S}) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\bigwedge_{i \leq j}^{m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)}, & \\ \displaystyle\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} ds_{ii} \bigwedge_{i<j}^{m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)}, & \text{if } s_{ii} \in \Re. \end{cases}$$

The context generally establishes the conditions on the elements of $\mathbf{S}$, that is, if $s_{ij} \in \Re$, $\in \mathfrak{C}$, $\in \mathfrak{H}$ or $\in \mathfrak{O}$. It is considered that

$$(d\mathbf{S}) = \bigwedge_{i \leq j}^{m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)} \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} ds_{ii} \bigwedge_{i<j}^{m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

Observe, too, that for the Lebesgue measure $(d\mathbf{S})$ defined thus, it is required that $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, that is, $\mathbf{S}$ must be a non singular Hermitian matrix (Hermitian positive definite matrix).

If $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$ then $(d\mathbf{\Lambda})$ (the Legesgue measure in $\mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$) denotes the exterior product of the βm functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} d\lambda_i^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ then

$$(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^{m} \mathbf{h}_j^* d\mathbf{h}_i.$$

where $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_1 | \mathbf{H}_2) = (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_m | \mathbf{h}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n) \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)$. It can be proved that this differential form does not depend on the choice of the $\mathbf{H}_2$ matrix. Furthermore, $(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1)$ is invariant under the matrix transformations

$$\mathbf{H}_1 \to \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{Q}, \quad \mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m) \text{ and } \mathbf{H}_1 \to \mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}_1, \quad \mathbf{P} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n),$$

and defines an invariant measure on the Stiefel manifold $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$, see James (1954).

When $m = 1$; $\mathcal{V}_{1,n}^{\beta}$ defines the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{F}^n$. This is, of course, an $(n-1)\beta$-dimensional surface in $\mathfrak{F}^n$. When $m = n$ and denotes $\mathbf{H}_1$ by $\mathbf{H}$, $(\mathbf{H}^*d\mathbf{H})$ is termed the *Haar measure* on $\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$.

The surface area or volume of the Stiefel manifold $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ is

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}) = \int_{\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}} (\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \frac{2^m \pi^{mn\beta/2}}{\Gamma_m^{\beta}[n\beta/2]}, \tag{1}$$

and therefore

$$(d\mathbf{H}_1) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}\right)} (\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \frac{\Gamma_m^{\beta}[n\beta/2]}{2^m \pi^{mn\beta/2}} (\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1).$$

is the *normalised invariant measure on* $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ and $(d\mathbf{H})$, i.e., with $(m = n)$, it defines the *normalised Haar measure* on $\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$. In (1), $\Gamma_m^{\beta}[a]$ denotes the multivariate Gamma function for the space $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$, and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^{\beta}[a] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}} \mathrm{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} (d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma[a - (i-1)\beta/2], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{etr}(\cdot) = \exp(\mathrm{tr}(\cdot))$, $|\cdot|$ denotes the determinant and $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2$, see Gross and Richards (1987).

2.2 Jacobians

In this section, we summarise diverse Jacobians with respect to Lebesgue measure in terms of the β parameter. For a detailed discussion of this and related issues, see Dimitriu (2002), Edelman and Rao (2005), Forrester (2009) and Kabe (1984). Two new Jacobians for singular linear transformations are proposed as well.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{L}_{n,n}^{\beta}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,m}^{\beta}$ *and* $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ *be matrices of constants,* $\mathbf{Y}$ *and* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ *matrices of functionally independent variables such that* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AXB} + \mathbf{C}$. *Then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}|^{\beta m/2} |\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B}|^{\beta n/2} (d\mathbf{X}). \tag{2}$$

Proposition 2.2 (Singular value decomposition, *SVD*)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}$, *such that* $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}^*$ *with* $\mathbf{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$, $\mathbf{W} \in \mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$ *and* $\mathbf{D} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_m) \in \mathfrak{D}_m^1$, $d_1 > \cdots > d_m > 0$. *Then*

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = 2^{-m}\pi^{\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{m} d_i^{\beta(n-m+1)-1} \prod_{i<j}^{m} (d_i^2 - d_j^2)^{\beta} (d\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{V}_1^* d\mathbf{V}_1)(\mathbf{W}^* d\mathbf{W}), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\tau = \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} 0, & \beta = 1; \\ -m, & \beta = 2; \\ -2m, & \beta = 4; \\ -4m, & \beta = 8. \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition 2.3 (Spectral decomposition)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$*. Then the spectral decomposition can be written as* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{W}^*$*, where* $\mathbf{W} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)$ *and* $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathfrak{D}_m^1$*, with* $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_m > 0$*. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{S}) = 2^{-m}\pi^\tau \prod_{i<j}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^\beta (d\mathbf{\Lambda})(\mathbf{W}^* d\mathbf{W}), \tag{4}$$

where τ *is defined in Proposition 2.2.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta$*, and* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$*. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = 2^{-m}|\mathbf{S}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}(d\mathbf{S})(\mathbf{V}_1^* d\mathbf{V}_1), \tag{5}$$

with $\mathbf{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$*.*

Now, let $\mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta(q)$ be the linear space of all $n \times m$ matrices of rank $q \leq \min(n, m)$ with q distinct singular values. In addition, observe that, if $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta(q)$, we can write $\mathbf{X}$ as

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \underset{q\times q}{\mathbf{X}_{11}} & \underset{q\times m-q}{\mathbf{X}_{12}} \\ \underset{n-q\times q}{\mathbf{X}_{21}} & \underset{n-q\times m-q}{\mathbf{X}_{11}} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $r(\mathbf{X}_{11}) = q$. This is equivalent to the left and right products of matrix $\mathbf{X}$ with permutation matrices $\mathbf{\Pi}_1$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_2$, see Golub and Van Loan (1996, section 3.4.1, 1996), that is $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{\Pi}_1\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Pi}_2$. Note that the exterior product of the elements from the differential matrix $d\mathbf{X}$ is not affected by the fact that we multiply $\mathbf{X}$ (right and left) by a permutation matrix, that is, $(d\mathbf{X}_1) = (d(\mathbf{\Pi}_1\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Pi}_2)) = (d\mathbf{X})$, since $\mathbf{\Pi}_1 \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_2 \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)$, see Muirhead (1982, Section 2.1, 1982) and James (1954). Then, without loss of generality, $(d\mathbf{X})$ shall be defined as the exterior product of the differentials dx_{ij}, such that x_{ij} are mathematically independent. It is important to note that we shall have $(nq + mq - q^2)\beta$ mathematically independent elements in the matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta(q)$, corresponding to the elements of $\mathbf{X}_{11}, \mathbf{X}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{21}$. Explicitly,

$$(d\mathbf{X}) \equiv (d\mathbf{X}_{11}) \wedge (d\mathbf{X}_{12}) \wedge (d\mathbf{X}_{21}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\bigwedge_{j=1}^{q}\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} dx_{ij}^{(k)} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{q}\bigwedge_{j=q+1}^{m}\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} dx_{ij}^{(k)}. \tag{6}$$

Furthermore,

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{P}d\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Q}), \text{ for } \mathbf{P} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n), \mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m) \tag{7}$$

Observe that an explicit form for $(d\mathbf{X})$ depends on the factorisation (base and coordinate set) employed to represent $\mathbf{X}$ or, that is, they depend on the measure factorisation of $(d\mathbf{X})$. For example, by using the non-singular part of the decomposition in singular values and the non-singular part of the spectral decomposition for $\mathbf{X}$, then we can find an explicit form for $(d\mathbf{X})$, which is not unique, see Khatri (1968), Díaz-García et al. (1997), Uhlig (1994) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (1997). Alternatively, an explicit form for $(d\mathbf{X})$ can be found in terms of the QR decompositions.

A singular random matrix $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta(q)$ does not have a density with respect to Lebesgue's measure in $\mathfrak{F}^{n\times m}$, but it does possess a density on a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{F}^{n\times m}$; see Khatri (1968), Rao (1973, p. 527), Díaz-García et al. (1997), Uhlig (1994) and Cramér (1999, p. 297). Formally, $\mathbf{X}$ has a density with respect to Hausdorff's measure, which coincides with Lebesgue's measure, when the latter is defined on the subspace $\mathcal{M}$; see Billingsley (1986, p. 247), Uhlig (1994), Díaz-García et al. (1997), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2005) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2009).

Given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta(q)$, and constant $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{L}_{n,p}^\beta(c)$, and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,p}^\beta(q)$ with $c \geq q$. We wish to determine the Jacobian of the transform $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$. Let us first consider the following case:

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,n}(n)$*, with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{n,p}(n)$ *constant and* $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,p}(n)$*. If* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AX}$*, then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(\mathbf{A})^{\beta m}(d\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(\mathbf{AA}^*)^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{X}) \tag{8}$$

where $\lambda_i(\mathbf{M})$ *and* $\sigma_i(\mathbf{M})$ *are the* i*-th non-null eigenvalue and singular value of* $\mathbf{M}$*, respectively.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*$ is the non-singular part of the SVD of $\mathbf{A}$, where $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{n,p}$, $\mathbf{D_A} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1(\mathbf{A}), \cdots, \sigma_n(\mathbf{A}))$, with $\sigma_i(\mathbf{A})$ the i-th singular value of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)$. Furthermore, note that $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{AX}) = n$. By differentiating $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AX}$, we obtain

$$d\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X}.$$

Now, let $\mathbf{H}_2$ (a function of $\mathbf{H}_1$) be such that $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_1 \vdots \mathbf{H}_2) \in \mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)$, then

$$\mathbf{H}^*d\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1^* \\ \mathbf{H}_2^* \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1^*\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{H}_2^*\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D_A}\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

as $\mathbf{H}_2^*\mathbf{H}_1 = 0$. From (7), $(\mathbf{H}^*d\mathbf{Y}) = (d\mathbf{Y})$ and that $(\mathbf{Q}^*d\mathbf{X}) = (d\mathbf{X})$, then by Proposition 2.1

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{D_A}|^{\beta m}(d\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(\mathbf{A})^{\beta m}(d\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(\mathbf{AA}')^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{X}). \quad \square$$

$\square$

Remark 2.1. Note that, we can consider the QR decomposition instead of the SVD of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ in Theorem 2.1. That is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{T}$, where $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{n,p}$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{U}}{}^{+\beta}_{n,n}$, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (1997). Alternatively to (8) we have that

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{ii}^{\beta m}(d\mathbf{X}). \tag{9}$$

The proof is parallel to that given in Theorem 2.1. Additionally note that, when $n = p$, (8) and (9) they agree.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,n}(q)$*, with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{n,p}(c)$ *constant, and* $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,p}(q)$*, with* $\min(p, n) \geq c \geq q$*. If* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AX}$*, then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{ACC}^*\mathbf{A}^*)^{\beta m/2}}{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{CC}^*)^{\beta m/2}}\,(d\mathbf{X}) \tag{10}$$

where $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{q,n}(q)$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{q,n}(q)$ such that $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{CZ}$ where $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\beta}_{m,q}(q)$ and let us denote $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{AC}$. Then

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{ACZ} = \mathbf{RZ}.$$

Observing that $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{RZ}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{Z}) = q$, from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{RR}^*)^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{ACC}^*\mathbf{A}^*)^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Z}). \tag{11}$$

Now, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}$, again applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^*)^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Z})$$

from which, substituting $(d\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^*)^{-\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{X})$ in (11), we obtain the desired result. □ □

Remark 2.2. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}_{q,n}^{\beta}$ such that $\mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}), \dots, \lambda_q(\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}))$, then from Theorem 10,

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \sigma_i(\mathbf{A})^{\beta m}(d\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*)^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{X})$$

.

2.3 Elliptical distributions

Now, the generalised matrix multivariate elliptical distributions for real normed division algebras it is introduced in current section, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (1997). A comprehensive and systematic study can be found in Fang and Zhang (1990) and Gupta, and Varga (1993), for real case. Similarly, the elliptical vector distributions have been discussed by Micheas *et al.* (2006) for complex case.

Definition 2.1. It is said that the random matrix $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ has a *matrix variate elliptical distribution*, denoted as $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{E}_{n\times m}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, h)$, if its density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{F}^{n\times m}$ is

$$\frac{C^{\beta}(m,n)}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}} h\left\{\beta \operatorname{tr}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\}. \tag{12}$$

where

$$C^{\beta}(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[\beta mn/2]}{2\pi^{\beta mn/2}} \left\{\int_{\mathfrak{P}_1^{\beta}} u^{\beta mn-1} h(\beta u^2) du\right\}^{-1} \tag{13}$$

and where $\boldsymbol{\Theta} \in \mathfrak{P}_n^{\beta}$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ are constant matrices.

Observe that this class of matrix multivariate distributions includes normal, contaminated normal, Pearson type II and VII, Kotz, Jensen-Logistic, power exponential and Bessel distributions, among others; these distributions have tails that are more or less weighted, and/or present a greater or smaller degree of kurtosis than the normal matrix multivariate distribution. In particular, observe that if in Definition 2.1 it is taken that $h(u) = \exp(-u/2)$, from (13) it can be readily seen that $C^{\beta}(m,n) = (2\pi\beta^{-1})^{-mn\beta/2}$. Hence, the density obtained is

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\beta^{-1})^{mn\beta/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}} \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\frac{\beta}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\}, \tag{14}$$

which is termed the matrix multivariate normal distribution for real normed division algebras and is denoted as $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}_{m\times n}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

Similarly, observe that if in Definition 2.1 it is taken that $h(u) = (1 + u/g)^{-s}$, where $s, g \in \Re$, $s, g > 0$, $s > \beta mn/2$; from (13) it can be seen that

$$C^{\beta}(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s]}{(\pi g\beta^{-1})^{\beta mn/2}\Gamma_1^{\beta}\left[s - \frac{\beta mn}{2}\right]}.$$

Therefore, the density is

$$\frac{(\pi g\beta^{-1})^{-\beta mn/2}\Gamma_1^\beta[s]}{\Gamma_1^\beta\left[s-\frac{\beta mn}{2}\right]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}}\left\{1+\frac{\beta}{g}\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\}^{-s}, \tag{15}$$

which is termed the matrix multivariate Pearson type VII distribution for real normed division algebras. Observe that when $s=(\beta mn+g)/2$ in (15), $\mathbf{Y}$ is said to have a matrix multivariate t distribution for real normed division algebras with g degrees of freedom. And in this case, if $g=1$, then $\mathbf{Y}$ is said to have a matrix multivariate Cauchy distribution for real normed division algebras.

2.4 Some results on integration

First consider the following result proposed by Constantine and Muirhead (1976) for real case and extended to real normed division algebras by Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (1997). Observe that given a function $f(\mathbf{H})$, $\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$ is possible to integrate over the last $n-m$ $(n\geq m)$ columns of $\mathbf{H}$, the first m columns being fixed, and then to integrate over these m columns, that is

Lemma 2.1.

$$\int_{\mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} f(\mathbf{H}_1,\mathbf{H}_2)(\mathbf{H}^*d\mathbf{H})=\int_{\mathbf{H}_1\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta}\int_{\mathbf{M}\in\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m)} f(\mathbf{H}_1,\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M})(\mathbf{M}^*d\mathbf{M})(\mathbf{H}_1^*d\mathbf{H}_1),$$

where $\mathbf{H}=(\mathbf{H}_1\vdots\mathbf{H}_2)$, $\mathbf{H}_1\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{H}_1)\in\mathcal{V}_{n-m,n}^\beta$ *with columns orthogonal to those of* $\mathbf{H}_1$, *this is* $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^*=\mathbf{I}_n-\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{H}_1^*$.

Jack polynomials for real normed division algebras are also termed spherical functions of symmetric cones in the abstract algebra context, see Sawyer (1997). In addition, in the statistical literature, they are termed real, complex, quaternion and octonion zonal polynomials, or, generically, *general zonal polynomials*, see James (1964), Muirhead (1982), Kabe (1984), Ratnarajah *et al.* (2005) and Li and Xue (2009).

The original version of the follow result was stated for real and complex cases in James (1960) and James (1964), respectively, and for quaternion case by Li and Xue (2009), see also Díaz-García (2009). Next these results are extended under more general conditions. This result was previously indirectly conjectured by Hayakawa (2007, Remark on p. 194).

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{X}_1\in\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{X}_2\in\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)$. *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}^*)(d\mathbf{H})=\frac{C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1)C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_2)}{C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{I}_r)} \tag{16}$$

where $C_\kappa^\beta(\cdot)$, *denotes the Jack polynomials (see Sawyer (1997) and Díaz-García (2009)),* r $=$ *rank of* $\mathbf{X}_2$ *and* $(d\mathbf{H})$ *is the normalised invariant measure on* $\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$.

Proof. As well as taking into account that this new version proposed holds for real normed division algebras, in the original result proposed James (1960) and James (1964), $\mathbf{X}_2$ is assumed to be positive definite, and in our case $\mathbf{X}_2$ is assumed positive semidefinite. Then, the proof is the same as that given by Muirhead (1982, Theorem 7.2.5, pp. 243-244), simply observing that the differential operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}_2}^*$ is also invariant when $\mathbf{X}_2$ is positive semidefinite, see Díaz-García and Caro-Lopera (2006). Hence, we take

$$\mathbf{X}_2=\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{I}_r & \underset{r\times r-m}{\mathbf{0}}\\ \underset{r-m\times m}{\mathbf{0}} & \underset{r-m\times r-m}{\mathbf{0}}\end{pmatrix}$$

where r is the rank of $\mathbf{X}_2$, the conclusion is obtained observing that

$$C_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = C_\kappa\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

Kushner (1985, Equation (3.6), p. 88), see also Muirhead (1982, Corollary 7.2.4(i), p. 236). □

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{X}_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_n^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{X}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_1 \vdots \mathbf{H}_2) \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$, *with* $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}$. *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}_1^*)(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta)\frac{C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1)C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_2)}{C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{I}_r)} \tag{18}$$

where $r =$ *rank of* $\mathbf{X}_2$.

Proof. First, denote by J the integral in the left side of (18). Then, for $\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m)$

$$J = \int_{\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta} \int_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m)} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}_1^*)(d\mathbf{K})(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1)$$

where $(d\mathbf{K})$ denotes the Haar probability measure on $\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m)$. This is by (1)

$$(d\mathbf{K}) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m))}(\mathbf{K}^* d\mathbf{K}), \text{ from where, } \int_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m)} (d\mathbf{K}) = 1.$$

Then, for $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{H}_1 \vdots \mathbf{H}_2] \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$ and by Lemma 2.1

$$J = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m))} \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}_1^*)(\mathbf{H}^* d\mathbf{H}).$$

Now, normalising the measure $(\mathbf{H}^* d\mathbf{H})$ and noting that

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n))}{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{U}^\beta(n-m))} = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta)$$

we obtain:

$$J = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta) \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}_1^*)(d\mathbf{H}).$$

Finally, observe that

$$C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{H}_1^*) = C_\kappa^\beta\left(\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{H}_1 \vdots \mathbf{H}_2)\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_2 & \underset{m\times n-m}{\mathbf{0}} \\ \underset{n-m\times m}{\mathbf{0}} & \underset{n-m\times n-m}{\mathbf{0}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1^* \\ \mathbf{H}_2^* \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

the desired result is obtained from (17) and Lemma 2.2. □ □

A basic integral property is cited below. For this purpose, we utilise the complexification $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta,\mathfrak{C}} = \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta + i\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ of $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. That is, $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta,\mathfrak{C}}$ consists of all matrices $\mathbf{X} \in (\mathfrak{F}^\mathfrak{C})^{m\times m}$ of the form $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} + i\mathbf{Y}$, with $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. We refer to $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{Z})$ as the *real and imaginary parts* of $\mathbf{Z}$, respectively. The *generalised right half-plane* $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta + i\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ in $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta,\mathfrak{C}}$ consists of all $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta,\mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{Z}) \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, see Díaz-García (2009).

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbf{\Phi}$ *and* $\mathbf{U} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. *Assume* $\gamma = \int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}_1^\beta} f(z)z^{am-k-1}dz < \infty$. *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} f(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{XZ})|\mathbf{X}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{XU})\,(d\mathbf{X})$$

$$= \frac{[a]_\kappa^\beta \Gamma_m^\beta[a]}{\Gamma[am+k]} |\mathbf{Z}|^{-a} C_\kappa^\beta(\mathbf{UZ}^{-1}) \cdot \vartheta, \tag{19}$$

where $\vartheta = \int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}_1^\beta} f(z)z^{am+k-1}dz$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ *and* $\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ *and* $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_m$, *see Díaz-García (2009). And where* $[a]_\kappa^\beta$ *denotes the generalised Pochhammer symbol of weight* κ, *defined as*

$$[a]_\kappa^\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m (a-(i-1)\beta/2)_{k_i} = \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a+k_i-(i-1)\beta/2]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a]},$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ *and*

$$(a)_i = a(a+1)\cdots(a+i-1),$$

is the standard Pochhammer symbol.

Finally, consider the extension of the Wishart's integral for real normed division algebras.

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^\beta$. *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{R}} f(\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y})d(\mathbf{Y}) = \frac{\pi^{\beta mn/2}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[\beta n/2]} |\mathbf{R}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1} f(\mathbf{R}).$$

Proof. By the Proposition 2.4

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = 2^{-m}|\mathbf{R}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}(d\mathbf{R})(\mathbf{V}_1^* d\mathbf{V}_1),$$

with $\mathbf{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{R}} f(\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y})d(\mathbf{Y}) = 2^{-m}\int_{\mathbf{R}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \int_{\mathbf{V}_1\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta} |\mathbf{R}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1} f(\mathbf{R})(d\mathbf{R})(\mathbf{V}_1^* d\mathbf{V}_1).$$

from which the conclusion is reached. □ □

3 Density function

In this section we find the density function of a general matrix quadratic form of a matrix multivariate elliptical distribution for real normed division algebras.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{E}_{n\times m}^\beta(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Theta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}, h)$ *and define* $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{AX} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *of rank* r, *with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^\beta$ *of rank* $r \le m \le n$. *Then the density function of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for a real normed division algebra, is*

$$\frac{C^\beta(m,n)\pi^{\beta mn/2}|\mathbf{W}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[\beta n/2]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}|\mathbf{\Lambda}|^{\beta m/2}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \frac{C_\kappa^\beta\left(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^+\right) C_\kappa(\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{W})}{C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_r)} \tag{20}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^+$ *is the Moore-Penrose inverse of* $\mathbf{A}$*;* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}_1^*$ *is the non-singular part of the spectral decomposition of* $\mathbf{A}$, *whit* $\mathbf{P}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{r,m}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}))$, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) > \cdots > \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}) > 0$*; and* $h^{(k)}(\cdot)$ *is the kth derivative of* h.

Proof. The density function of $\mathbf{W}$ is

$$\frac{C^{\beta}(m,n)}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}}\int_{\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{W}} h\left[\beta \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right](d\mathbf{X})$$

Let us now consider the transformation $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{X}$, such that $(\mathbf{A}^{1/2})^{2} = \mathbf{A}$, then, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$. Since, by Remark 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}(d\mathbf{X}) &= = \prod_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i(\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2*})^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i(\mathbf{A}^{+})^{\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Y})\\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{r}\lambda_i(\mathbf{A})^{-\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{\Lambda}|^{-\beta m/2}(d\mathbf{Y})\end{aligned}$$

where r is the rank of $\mathbf{A}$; $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}_1^{*}$ is the nonsingular part of the spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{A}$, whit $\mathbf{P}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{r,m}^{\beta}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}),\dots,\lambda_r(\mathbf{A}))$, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) > \cdots > \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}) > 0$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{c}_1\int_{\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{W}} h\left[\beta \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{Y}\right](d\mathbf{Y}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = \frac{C^{\beta}(m,n)}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}|\mathbf{\Lambda}|^{\beta m/2}}.$$

Since $\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\beta}$, the integral is invariant under the matrix transformation

$$\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2} \to \mathbf{H}^{*}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n),$$

and the integration with respect to $\mathbf{H}$ on $\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{c}_1\int_{\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{W}}\int_{\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)} h\left[\beta \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{H}^{*}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}\right](d\mathbf{H})(d\mathbf{Y}), \tag{21}$$

where $(d\mathbf{H})$ is the normalised invariant Haar measure. Let us now assume that h can be expanded in series of power, that is

$$h(v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{(k)}(0)v^{k}}{k!}.$$

Hence, recalling that

$$\sum_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{\beta}(\mathbf{X}) = (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}))^{k},$$

see Díaz-García (2009), the $\int_{\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)} h[\cdot](d\mathbf{H})$ expression in (21) is

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\int_{\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)}\left(\beta \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{H}^{*}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}\right)^{k}(d\mathbf{H}),\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\int_{\mathbf{H}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(n)} C_{\kappa}\left(\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{H}^{*}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}\right)(d\mathbf{H}),\end{aligned}$$

and from Theorem 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\frac{C_{\kappa}\left(\beta\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\right)C_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+1/2}\right)}{C_{\kappa}\left(I_r\right)},\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\frac{C_{\kappa}\left(\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{Y}\right)C_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+}\right)}{C_{\kappa}\left(I_r\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting in (21), we have that the density function of $\mathbf{W}$ is

$$\mathbf{c}_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \frac{C_\kappa\left(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+}\right)}{C_\kappa\left(I_r\right)} \int_{\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{W}} C_\kappa\left(\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{Y}\right)(d\mathbf{Y}).$$

Finally, the desired result is obtained from Theorem 2.5. $\square$ $\square$

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{X}$ *has a matrix multivariate normal distribution for real normed division algebras. Let* $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$*, with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^\beta$ *of rank* $r \leq m \leq n$*,* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}_1^*$ *is the non-singular part of the spectral decomposition of* $\mathbf{A}$*, whit* $\mathbf{P}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{r,m}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}))$*,* $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) > \cdots > \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}) > 0$*. Then the density of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for real normed division algebras is*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{W}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}}{(2/\beta)^{\beta mn/2}\Gamma_m^\beta[\beta n/2]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}|\mathbf{\Lambda}|^{\beta m/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_\kappa^\beta\left(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+}\right) C_\kappa(-\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{W}/2)}{k!\ \ C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_r)}$$

Proof. This follows from (22) noting that for the normal case, $h(u) = \exp\{-u/2\}$ and $C^\beta(m,n) = (2\pi/\beta)^{-\beta mn/2}$. $\square$ $\square$

In real case, this result has been found by Khatri (1966) and Hayakawa (2007) in terms of zonal polynomials, by Shah (1970) in terms of Laguerre polynomials and by Gupta, and Nagar (2000) in terms of Hayakawa polynomials. All these results were proposed when $\mathbf{A}$ is definite positive. In addition, Khatri (1966) obtain these results for complex case too.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{X}$ *has a matrix multivariate Pearson type VII distribution for real normed division algebras. Let* $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$*, with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^\beta$ *of rank* $r \leq m \leq n$*,* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}_1^*$ *is the nonsingular part of the spectral decomposition of* $\mathbf{A}$*, whit* $\mathbf{P}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{r,m}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}))$*,* $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) > \cdots > \lambda_r(\mathbf{A}) > 0$*. Then the density of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for real normed division algebras is*

$$\frac{C^\beta(m,n)\pi^{\beta mn/2}|\mathbf{W}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[\beta n/2]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\beta n/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{\beta m/2}|\mathbf{\Lambda}|^{\beta m/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_k}{k!} \frac{C_\kappa^\beta\left(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{+}\right) C_\kappa(\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{W}/g)}{C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_r)} \qquad (22)$$

where

$$C^\beta(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma_1^\beta[s]}{(\pi g \beta^{-1})^{\beta mn/2}\Gamma_1^\beta\left[s - \beta mn/2\right]},$$

$(s)_k = s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)$*, is the standard Pochhammer symbol, and* $s, g \in \Re$*,* $s, g > 0$*, and* $s > mn/2$*.*

Where $s, g \in \Re$, $s, g > 0$, $s > \beta mn/2$.

Proof. In this case we have

$$C^\beta(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma_1^\beta[s]}{(\pi g \beta^{-1})^{\beta mn/2}\Gamma_1^\beta\left[s - \beta mn/2\right]}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(u) = (1 + u/g)^{-s},$$

$s, g \in \Re$, $s, g > 0$, $s > \beta mn/2$, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (1997). Then

$$h(u)^{(k)} = \frac{(s)_k}{g^k}\left(1 + \frac{u}{g}\right)^{-(s+k)}.$$

From where the desired result is follows: $\square$ $\square$

4 Characteristic function

In this section we derived the characteristic function of a general matrix quadratic form of a matrix multivariate elliptical distribution for real normed division algebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{E}_{n\times m}^{\beta}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Theta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}, h)$ *and define* $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{\beta}$ *of rank* r*, with* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}^{\beta}$ *of rank* $r \leq m \leq n$*. Then the characteristic function of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for a real normed division algebra, is*

$$C^{\beta}(m,n)\pi^{\beta mn/2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{[\beta n/2]_{\kappa}}{\Gamma_{1}^{\beta}[\beta mn/2+k]\ k!}\frac{C_{\kappa}^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{A}\right)C_{\kappa}(i\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{S})}{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})}\vartheta \tag{23}$$

where $[a]_{\kappa}^{\beta}$ *is defined in Theorem 2.4 and*

$$\vartheta = \int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}_{1}^{\beta}} f(z)z^{\beta mn/2+k-1}dz$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{S}) &= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{W}\mathbf{S}\}) \\
&= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}\}) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}} \mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}\}f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X})
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the transformation $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Theta}^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}$, where $(\mathbf{B}^{1/2})^{2} = \mathbf{B}$, then by Proposition 2.1, $(d\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{\Theta}|^{m/2}|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{n/2}(d\mathbf{Y})$. Hence from (12) the characteristic function of $\mathbf{W}$ is

$$C^{\beta}(m,n)\int_{\mathbf{Y}\in\mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^{\beta}} \mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\}h(\beta\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Y}^{*}\mathbf{Y})(d\mathbf{Y}) \tag{24}$$

Now, let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}^{*}$ the singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{Y}$. Then, by Proposition 2.2,

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = 2^{-m}\pi^{\tau}\prod_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}^{\beta(n-m+1)-1}\prod_{i<j}^{m}(d_{i}^{2}-d_{j}^{2})^{\beta}(d\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}d\mathbf{V}_{1})(\mathbf{W}^{*}d\mathbf{W}).$$

Therefore, the integral in (24) is

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-m}\pi^{\tau}\int_{\mathbf{W}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)}\int_{\mathbf{D}\in\mathfrak{D}_{m}^{\beta}}\int_{\mathbf{V}_{1}\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}} &\mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\} \\
\times\, h(\beta\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{W}^{*})\prod_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}^{\beta(n-m+1)-1}&\prod_{i<j}^{m}(d_{i}^{2}-d_{j}^{2})^{\beta}(d\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}d\mathbf{V}_{1})(\mathbf{W}^{*}d\mathbf{W})
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now, note that the integral on $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ in (25) that is

$$\int_{\mathbf{V}_{1}\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}} \mathrm{etr}\{i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\}(\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}d\mathbf{V}_{1})$$

can be expressed as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{1}{k!}\int_{\mathbf{V}_{1}\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}} C_{\kappa}^{\beta}\left(i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\right)(\mathbf{V}_{1}^{*}d\mathbf{V}_{1})$$

which by Theorem 2.3 is

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,n})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}\right)C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{W}^{*}\right)}{k!C^{\beta}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})}.$$

Hence, substituting in (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2^{-m}\pi^{\tau}\,\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,n})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}\right)}{k!C^{\beta}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})}\int_{\mathbf{W}\in\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)}\int_{\mathbf{D}\in\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}_{m}}C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{W}^{*}\right)\\ \times h(\beta\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{W}^{*})\prod_{i=1}^{m}d_{i}^{\beta(n-m+1)-1}\prod_{i<j}^{m}(d_{i}^{2}-d_{j}^{2})^{\beta}(d\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{W}^{*}d\mathbf{W}).\qquad(26)\end{aligned}$$

Now, considering the transformation $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{W}^{*}$, then by Proposition 2.3, noting that $\mathbf{\Lambda}=\mathbf{D}^{2}$, and $(d\mathbf{\Lambda})=2^{m}|\mathbf{D}|(d\mathbf{D})$,

$$(d\mathbf{V})=\pi^{\tau}\prod_{i<j}^{m}(d_{i}^{2}-d_{j}^{2})^{\beta}\prod_{i=1}^{m}d_{i}(d\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{W}^{*}d\mathbf{W}),$$

Thus, by (24) and (26) the characteristic function of $\mathbf{W}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}C^{\beta}(m,n)2^{-m}\,\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^{\beta}_{m,n})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}\right)}{k!C^{\beta}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})}\int_{\mathbf{V}\in\mathfrak{P}^{\beta}_{m}}C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(i\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{V}\right)\\ \times\ h(\beta\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1}(d\mathbf{V}).\qquad(27)\end{aligned}$$

Finally the desired result is obtained integrating (27) using Theorem 2.4. □ □

Corollary 4.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{X}$ *has a matrix multivariate normal distribution for real normed division algebras, and define* $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\in\mathfrak{S}^{\beta}_{m}$ *of rank* r*, with* $\mathbf{A}\in\mathfrak{S}^{\beta}_{n}$ *of rank* $r\leq m\leq n$*. Then the characteristic function of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for a real normed division algebra, is*

$$2^{\beta-1}\beta^{\beta mn/2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{[\beta n/2]_{\kappa}}{k!}\frac{C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{A}\right)C_{\kappa}(2i\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{S})}{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})}.\qquad(28)$$

Proof. Observe that, for the normal case, $h(u)=\exp\{-u/2\}$ and $C^{\beta}(m,n)=(2\pi/\beta)^{-\beta mn/2}$. Then in this case

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta&=\int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}^{\beta}_{1}}f(z)z^{\beta mn/2+k-1}dz\\&=\int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}^{\beta}_{1}}\exp\{-z/2\}z^{\beta mn/2+k-1}dz=2^{\beta mn/2+k-1+\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{1}[\beta mn/2+k].\end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows. □ □

In the real case, i.e. $\beta=1$, this result was obtained by Khatri (1966) in terms of zonal polynomials y by Shah (1970) in terms of Laguerre polynomials with matrix argument when $\mathbf{A}$ is a positive definite matrix.

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that* $\mathbf{X}$ *has a matrix multivariate* t *distribution for real normed division algebras, and define* $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\in\mathfrak{S}^{\beta}_{m}$ *of rank* r*, with* $\mathbf{A}\in\mathfrak{S}^{\beta}_{n}$ *of rank* $r\leq m\leq n$*. Then the characteristic function of* $\mathbf{W}$ *for a real normed division algebra, is*

$$g^{\beta-1}\beta^{\beta mn/2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{[\beta n/2]_{\kappa}}{k!\ (s-\beta mn/2-k)_{k}}\frac{C^{\beta}_{\kappa}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{A}\right)C_{\kappa}(ig\beta\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{S})}{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_{r})},\qquad(29)$$

where $s,g\in\Re$*,* $s,g>0$*,* $s>\beta mn/2$*.*

Proof. In this case we have

$$C^{\beta}(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s]}{(\pi g \beta^{-1})^{\beta mn/2}\Gamma_1^{\beta}\left[s-\beta mn/2\right]}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(u) = (1+u/g)^{-s},$$

with $s, g \in \Re$, $s, g > 0$, $s > \beta mn/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta &= \int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}_1^{\beta}} f(z) z^{\beta mn/2+k-1} dz \\ &= \int_{z\in\mathfrak{P}_1^{\beta}} (1+z/g)^{-s} \, z^{\beta mn/2+k-1} dz \\ &= g^{\beta mn/2+k-1+\beta} \frac{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[\beta mn/2+k]\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s-\beta mn/2-k]}{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s]}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, recalling that $\Gamma_1^{\beta}[a] = \Gamma_1^{\beta}[a+k]/(a)_k$ for $a+k>0$, k an integer number. Then, taking $a = s-\beta mn/2-k$

$$\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s-\beta mn/2-k] = \frac{\Gamma_1^{\beta}[s-\beta mn/2]}{(s-\beta mn/2-k)_k},$$

the desired result is obtained. □ □

In corollaries 3.2 and 4.2 observe that, when $s = (\beta mn + g)/2$, $\mathbf{W}$ is said to have a matrix quadratic form t distribution for real normed division algebras with g degrees of freedom. And in this case, if $g = 1$, then $\mathbf{W}$ is said to have a matrix quadratic form Cauchy distribution for real normed division algebras.

Conclusions

Any reader interested in a particular case – real, complex, quaternions or octonions – need simply take the particular value of β in order to obtain the results desired. Furthermore, as is asseverated by Kabe (1984), our results can be extended to hypercomplex cases, by simply substituting β by 2β, obtaining the complex, bicomplex, biquaternion and bioctonion (or sedenionic) cases. Observe that, alternatively to use the concepts and notation of real normed division algebras, it is possible to use the concepts and notation of simple Jordan algebras noting that one particular algebra more is contained. As was mentioned, there are four real normed division algebras and five Euclidean simple Jordan algebras, see Casalis and Letac (1996).

In summary, the density and characteristics functions of a matrix quadratics forms of matrix multivariate elliptical distribution are found under a unified approach that allows the simultaneous study of the real, complex, quaternion and octonion cases, generically termed distributions for real normed division algebras. In particular these results were particularised for matrix quadratics forms of a matrix multivariate normal, Pearson type VII, t and Cauchy distributions for real normed division algebras.

Finally, note that with particular cases of the results obtained in this paper, one can obtain many of the results published in the literature. Thus for example, if in Corollary 4.1, $\mathbf{A}$ is a idempotent matrix and $\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathbf{I}$, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{S}) &= 2^{\beta-1}\beta^{\beta mn/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa} \frac{[\beta n/2]_{\kappa}}{k!} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{A}\right) C_{\kappa}(2i\beta\mathbf{\Sigma S})}{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{I}_r)}. \\ &= 2^{\beta-1}\beta^{\beta mn/2} |\mathbf{I} - 2i\beta\mathbf{\Sigma S})|^{-\beta n/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for real case $\psi_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{S}) = |\mathbf{I} - 2i\mathbf{\Sigma S})|^{-n/2}$.

References

J. C. Baez, The octonions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002) 145–205.

P. Billingsley, Probability and Measure, Wiley, New York, 1986.

M. Casalis, G. Letac, The Lukascs-Olkin-Rubin characterization of Wishart distributions on symmetric cones, Ann. Statist. 24 (1996) 768–786.

A. G. Constantine and R. J. Muirhead, Asymptotic expansions for distributions of latent root in multivariate analysis, J. Multivariate Anal. 6 (1976) 369–391.

H. Cramér, Mathematical Methods of Statistics, 19th printing. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999)

J. A. Díaz-García, Special functions: Integral properties of Jack polynomials, hypergeometric functions and Invariant polynomials, `http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.1988`, (2009). Also submitted.

J. A. Díaz-García and F. J. Caro-Lopera, An alternative approach for deriving the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the zonal polynomials of positive semidefinite and definite matrix argument, Far East J. Math. Sci. 22(3)(2006), 273-290.

J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Distribution of the generalised inverse of a random matrix and its applications, J. Statist. Planning and Inf.e 136 (1)(2005), 183-192.

J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Wishart and Pseudo-Wishart distributions under elliptical laws and related distributions in the shape theory context, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 136(12)(2006), 4176-4193.

J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Proof of the conjectures of H. Uhlig on the singular multivariate beta and the jacobian of a certain matrix transformation, Ann. Statist. 25 (1997) 2018-2023.

J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, On Wishart distribution: Some extensions, Linear Algebra Appl. 435, 1296-1310.

J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, and K. V. Mardia, Wishart and pseudo-Wishart distributions and some applications to shape theory, J. Multivariate Anal., 63(1997), 73–87.

I. Dimitriu, Eigenvalue statistics for beta-ensembles. PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA., 2002.

T. Dray, C. A. Manogue, The exceptional Jordan eigenvalue problem, Inter. J. Theo. Phys. 38(11) (1999) 2901–2916.

A. Edelman, R. R. Rao, Random matrix theory, Acta Numer. 14 (2005) 233–297.

K-T. Fang, T. W. Anderson, Statistical inference in elliptically contoured and related distributions, Allerton Press Inc., New York, 1990.

K-T. Fang, Y. T. Zhang, Generalized Multivariate Analysis, Science Press, Beijing, Springer-Verlang, 1990.

P. J. Forrester, Log-gases and random matrices. To appear. Available in: `http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html`, 2009.

G. H. Golub, C. F. Van Loan, Matrix Computations. Johns Hopkins, Baltimore and London, 1996.

K. I. Gross, D. ST. P. Richards, Special functions of matrix argument I: Algebraic induction zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301(2) (1987) 475–501.

A. K. Gupta, T. Varga, Elliptically Contoured Models in Statistics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.

A. K. Gupta, D. Nagar, Matrix Variate Distributions, Chapman and Hall, London, 2000.

T. Hayakawa, On the distribution of a quadratic form in multivariate normal sample, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 18 (1966) 191-201.

A. T. James, Normal multivariate analysis and the orthogonal group, Ann. Math. Statist. 25 (1954) 40-75.

A. T. James, The distribution of the latent roots of the covariance matrix, Ann. Math. Statist. 31 (1960) 151-158.

A. T. James, Distribution of matrix variate and latent roots derived from normal samples, Ann. Math. Statist. 35 (1964) 475–501.

D. G. Kabe, Classical statistical analysis based on a certain hypercomplex multivariate normal distribution, Metrika 31(1984) 63–76.

C. G. Khatri, On certain distribution problems based on positive definite quadratic functions in normal vectors, Ann. Math. Statist. 37(2) (1966) 468-479.

C. G. Khatri, Some results for the singular normal multivariate regression models, Sankyā A 30 (1968), 267-280.

H. B. Kushner, On the expansion of $C_{\rho}^{*}(\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{I})$ as a sum of zonal polynomials, J. Multivariate Anal., 17(1985), 84–98.

F. Li, Y. Xue, Zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions of quaternion matrix argument, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods 38(8) (2009) 1184-1206.

A. C. Micheas, D. K. Dey, K. V. Mardia, Complex elliptical distribution with application to shape theory, J. Statist. Plann. Infer. 136 (2006) 2961-2982.

R. J.Muirhead, Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982.

C. R. Rao, Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications, 2nd edition. Wiley, New York, 1973.

T. Ratnarajah, R. Villancourt, A. Alvo, Complex random matrices and Rician channel capacity, Probl. Inf. Transm. 41(1) (2005) 1–22.

P. Sawyer, Spherical Functions on Symmetric Cones, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997) 3569–3584.

B. Shah, Distribution theory of a positive definite quadratic form with matrix argument, Ann. Math. Statist. 41(2) (1970) 692–697.

H. Uhlig, On singular Wishart and singular multivariate beta distributions, Ann. Statist., 22(1994), 395–405.